The Chemistry of Uranium. Part X. Octahedral Pentahalouranate(IV) Complexes

J. G. H. du PREEZ*, R. A. EDGE, M. L. GIBSON, H. E. ROHWER and C. P. J. van VUUREN *Inorganic Chemistry Research Laboratory, University of Port Elizabeth, Port Elizabeth, South Africa* Received November 17, 1973

Spectrophotometric and conductometric evidence for the existence of the octahedral $(UX_5 \cdot L)^{-}$ *species* $(X = Cl, Br \text{ and } I \text{ and } L =$ neutral monodentate ligand) *has been obtained. The conditions for the formation* of these and their UX_6^{2-} analogues in different non*aqueous solvents and in the presence of a variety of neutral ligands are reported. The following donor strength order was established using the data obtained: chloride* \geq *tmpo, hmpa > sulphoxides (dmso),* $C = O$ *amides (dma, cl, etc.) > Br > acetone, acetonitrile > iodide (tmpo = trimethylphosphine oxide, hmpa = hexamethylphosphoramide, dmso = dimethyl sulphoxide, dma = N,N-dimethylacetamide, cl = e-caprolactam). The positions of nitrate and thiocyanate are also discussed. The implications of this order on the positions of the different equilibria obtained in the practical chemistry of uranium(IV) in non-aqueous solution are discussed. Conductometric evidence obtained for ThCl, suggests that eight coordinated* $(ThCl₅·3L)⁻$ and ThCl₈⁴⁻ species exist in non-aqueous *solution.*

Introduction

Although a pentachloro solvated uranate(IV) species, $viz.$ (UCl_s · xacetone)⁻ was proposed in the literature' to explain the uncommon stoichiometry of the UC], '3acetone complex, more substantial evidence for the existence of such a species, specifically the value of x and the symmetry of the species, was not given.

During recent spectrophotometric studies on acetone solutions of $UCI₄$ in which the purpose was to study the relative donor strengths of anions and neutral oxygen donor ligands, we observed that the electronic spectrum of the $UCl₄$ acetone solvate changed from that of eight (or higher) coordinated uranium to that of a typical octahedra1 uranium(IV) species upon addition of one chloride ion per mole of UC],. This result, and a similar end-point obtained in a corresponding conductometric titration, left little doubt that the predominant species present in such a solution is an octahedral pentachlorouranate(IV) solvate of acetone, *viz.* (UCl₅ acetone)⁻.

The conditions under which this species, other similar species and the corresponding hexaanionic species can be obtained with chloride, bromide, iodide and thiocyanate in different non-aqueous solutions and in the presence of a variety of neutral oxygen donor ligands, were now studied. The Iigands used were N,N-dimethylacetamide (dma), ε -caprolactam (cl), α, α -diphenyl-N,N-dimethylacetamide (ddma), N,N-dimethylbenzamide (dmba), trimethylphosphine oxide (tmpo), hexamethylphosphoramide (hmpa) and triphenylphosphine oxide (tppo). The results of this work were used to set up a donor strength order of the anions and neutral donors studied. The implications of these results upon the practical chemistry of uranium (IV) is discussed. Efforts were made to isolate $M(UX_s \cdot solvate)$ complexes (where $M = \text{large monovalent cation}$).

Experimental

Titrations

The reaction between a $0.004M$ acetone solution of the uranium or thorium species and a $0.4M$ solution of the titrant was followed spectrophotometrically (600-1200 nm region) and conductometrically. Measurements were made initially and after successive additions of 0.5 (spectrophotometric) and 0.1 (conductometric) molar equivalents up to a total of ten molar equivalents.

Materials

 $UCl_4 \cdot 2.5$ ddma, $UBr_4 \cdot 3$ dmba, $UBr_4 \cdot 5$ dma, $(Net_4)_2$ UBr_6 , UCl₄ and UBr₄ '4MeCN were prepared as previously described.^{2,3}

Analysis

Thorium,4 uranium and halide analysis were performed as previously described.²

Apparatus

Electronic spectra were determined by a Beckman DKZA spectrophotometer. Conductivity measurements were obtained using a Metrohm Konduktoskop.

^{*} Author to whom correspondence should be directed.

Attempts to Prepare M(UX,L) (M = Large Monovalent Cation, $X = Cl$ *and Br and* $L =$ *Strong Oxygen Donor Ligands)*

$[P(Ph)_4] (UCl_5 \cdot dmso)$:

One mmol of each of UCl_4 , $P(Ph)_4Cl$ and dmso were dissolved in acetone and mixed. The solution was vacuum concentrated and cooled. A green product separated, which was washed with diethyl ether, vacuum dried and analysed. Found: U, 23.7% , Cl, 18.7% . Calculated for $[P(Ph)_4](UCl_5 \cdot dmso)$: U, 28.59%; Cl, 21.31% and for $[P(Ph)_4]$, UCl₆: U, 21.09%; Cl, 18.86%. The dma and tmpo analogues were tried similarly. These results also proved to be mixtures having Cl : U ratios bigger than five.

$[P(Ph)_4](UBr_5 \cdot L)$

When $1:1:1$ ratios of $[P(Ph)_4]Br$, UBr₄ and L $(L = d \text{mso}, d \text{m}$ and tmpo) were mixed in acetone and treated similarly to the chloro complcxea, the analysis of the products indicated that they were mixtures. If a slight excess of neutral donor was used the neutral complex crystallized out. $UBr_4 \cdot 6d$ could be prepared by the following method. A methyl cyanide solution (5 ml) of dmso (0.3 g) was slowly added to a hot solution (20 ml) of $(Nct₄)$, UBr₆ in the same solvent. The green crystals which separated upon cooling, were washed once with ethyl acetate. twice with diethyl

TABLE 1. Spectrophotometric Titrations.

ether, vacuum dried and analyzed. Found: U. 23.2; Br. 30.6%. Calc. for $UBr_4 \cdot 6dmso$: U, 23.18: Br, 31.14%.

Results and Discussion

The various spcctrophotometric titrations performed are listed in Table I. The uranium species *(0.004M* acetone solutions unless otherwise stated) are given in the first column and the titrant species *(0.3M* dissolved in the same solvent) arc given in the second column.

$(UX_5 \cdot \text{acetone})$ ⁻ Species $(X = Cl \text{ and } Br)$

When an acetone solution of $UCl₄$ is titrated with one equivalent of chloride ion ($Nbut₄Cl$) the electronic spectrum changes from that of eight coordinated uranium(lV) to a spectrum typical of octahedral uranium(IV) and similar to that of $(Net_4)_2UCI_6$ (see curves a and b in Figure 1). In the corresponding conductometric titration an end-point is obtained under similar conditions (see first end-point in curve a. Figure 2). It is now assumed that the uranium species at this end-point consists mainly of octahedral $(UCI₅)$. acetone)-. Addition of another equivalent of a chloride ion has only a small effect on the spectrum (curve c. Figure 1). The reduction in molar extinction

^a Acetone was used as solvent in all cases except otherwise stated.

^b Chloride, bromide and iodide were used in the form of their tetrabutylammonium salts and thiocyanate as the tetraethylammonium salt.

Figure 1. Electronic spectra of $0.004 M$ UCl₄ species in acetone solutions. Curve a, UCl₄ alone; curve b, UCl₄ + 1 equivalent of chloride (Tetrabutylammonium salts were used for both chloride and bromide in all the titrations); curve c, $UCl_4 + 2$ equivalents of chloride and $UCl_4 + 1$ equivalent of each of chloride and dma.

and very slight increase in the energy of the peak, from 1080 to 1075 nm, can be interpreted in terms of the formation of the more stable and centrosymmetric UCI₆²⁻ species. The end-point after two chloride equivalents have been added in the conductometric titration supports this (curve a, Figure 2).

Similar reactions take place in the reaction of bromide with $UBr₄$ in acetone solution. The "weaker" end-points in the corresponding conductometric titration (curve b, Figure 2) and the slightly larger "eight coordinated" shoulder in the spectrum after the addition of the equivalent of one bromide ion, as well as the slightly larger excess of bromide ions necessary to produce a constant spectrum (Figure 3, curves a, b and c) suggests smaller formation constants for the bromo species. This is to be expected in the light of the weaker donor strength of bromide as compared to chloride.

The above equilibria can be represented by equations 1 and 2:

$$
UX_4 \cdot xacetone + X^- \rightleftharpoons (UX_5 \cdot acetone)^- +
$$

(x-1) acetone (1)

$$
(UX_5 \cdot acetone)^- + X^- \rightleftharpoons UX_6^{2-} + acetone
$$
 (2)

Figure 2. Conductometric titrations of 0.004 M acetone solutions of MX_4 species $(M = U \text{ and } Th, X = Cl \text{ and } Br)$. Curve a, UCI₄ titrated with chloride; curve b, UBr₄ titrated with bromide; curve c, $UCl_4 \cdot 2.5dm$ titrated with chloride; curve d, $UBr₄$ plus 2.5 mol equivalents of dma titrated with bromide; curve e, ThCl₄ .4dma titrated with chloride. Numerical divisions above abcissa refer only to $ThCl₄ \cdot 4dm$ a titration.

Figure 3. Electronic spectra of $0.004 M \text{UBr}_4$ species in acetone. Curve a, UBr_4 alone; curve b, $UBr_4 + 1$ equivalent of bromide; curve c, $UBr_4 + 2$ equivalents of bromide; curve d, $UBr₄ + 3$ equivalents of bromide (spectra of UBr₄ + 1 equivalent of bromide $+1$ equivalent of dmso is very similar to curve d).

$(UX_5 \cdot ligand)^-$ *Species* $(X = Cl and Br)$

The different types of titrations (see Table I a(i)-(vi)) were performed to determine under which conditions the above species are formed for each of dma, cl, dmso, tmpo, tppo and hmpa. A purely octahedra1 species is formed upon addition of one mol equivalent of ligand to the mixtures of 1 mol equivalent $UCI₄$ and one equivalent tetrabutylammonium chloride for each of these ligands (see Figure 1, curve c for dma as ligand). This not only indicates that these ligands are much stronger than acetone as a ligand for uranium(W) but also exclude the possiblity that a dimeric centrosymmetric $U_2Cl_{10}^2$ species forms under these conditions, since the concentration of such a species should be higher in the presence of the weaker donor solvent molecules (acetone) alone. Such a species would have "explained" the relatively low molar extinction values of the octahedral species formed. The above reactions can therefore be represented by eq. 3.

$$
(UCls \cdot accone)^{-} + ligand \rightleftarrows (UCls \cdot ligand)^{-} +
$$

acetone (3)

It is, however, possible that some disproportionation of $(UCI₅·L)⁻$ takes place to form the more symmetrical UCL_6^2 - and UCL_4 -2L species, especially in the case of phosphoryl donor ligands where stable *trans* octahedral species, e.g. UCl_4 . 2hmpa, are known to exist.⁵ Their almost identical spectra do not allow any determination of the relative concentrations of these different species. This "disproportionation" does not. however, take place with dma. cl and dmso since acetone solutions of UC], containing two equivalents of each of these do not produce pure octahedral spectra, which strongly suggests that the main octahedral species under these conditions is $(UCl₅$ ligand).

A number of UCl₄ complexes of neutral oxygen donor ligands which have eight coordinated spectra, viz. UCl₄ . 2.5dma, UCl₄ . 3dmba, UCl₄ . 2.5ddma and $UCl_4 \cdot 4$ cl were now titrated with chloride ions (Table I, a(ii)).

Addition of one equivalent of chloride ion produced the same octahedral spectrum as obtained by titration a(i) (Table I) in each of these cases. Addition of more chloride ion did not change the spectra significantly. In the corresponding conductometric titrations two end-points were obtained in these cases at one and two equivalents of chloride ion, respectively (a typical titration curve for $(UCl₄ \cdot 2.5dma)$ is represented by curve c, Figure 2). These end-points were slightly "weaker" than in the corresponding titrations of acetone solutions of pure $UCl₄$. The reactions which take place in these instances can be represented by eq. 4 and 5 :

$$
UCl_4 \cdot xL + Cl^- \rightleftharpoons (UCl_5 \cdot L)^- + (x-l)L \tag{4}
$$

$$
(\text{UCl}_{5} \cdot \text{L})^{-} + \text{Cl}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \text{UCl}_{6}^{2-} + \text{L}
$$
 (5)

The presence of free ligand (L) was indicated by infrared studies in these reactions. Similar results to these were obtained⁶ when this spectrophotometric method was also applied to the eight coordinated $UCl₄ \cdot 4pa$ complex ($pa = N$ -isopropylacetamide) in a recent study of the influence of the structure of the neutral donor ligand on its UC], complex.

In order to determine how excess donor ligand reacts with hcxachlorouranate(IV) the titrations listed in Table I a(iii) were performed. Methyl cyanide and nitromethane solutions were used for each titration (acetone could not have been used in these instances because of the low solubility of (Net_4) , UCl₆ in this solvent). The spectrum remained that of octahedral uranium(IV) even after addition of a large excess of each of dma, dmso and cl.

Although the actual concentrations of $(UCl₅·L)$ ⁻ and UCI_6^{2-} cannot be determined by this spectrophotometric method. these results indicate that chloride is clearly a stronger donor to uranium(IV) than dmso, dma or cl. A solution of $(Net₄)₂UC₆$ in *pure* dma still contains only octahedral uranium(lV). i.e. UCl_6^2 and $(UCl_5 \cdot dma)^-$. In the case of tmpo an eight coordinated component was gradually built into the spectrum after addition of three equivalents of the ligand. The results were the same in both solvents. Tmpo, therefore, appears to be similar to chloride in donor strength.

The bromo complexes were titrated similarly to their chloro analogues (Table I, a(v). The spectra of each of these only gradually obtained a greater octahedral component when titrated with tetrabutylammonium bromide (see the curves in Figure 4 for $UBr_{4} \cdot 6cl$). A conductometric titration of $UBr_A \cdot 2.5dm$ had only an end-point after one equivalent of bromide was added

Figure 4. Electronic spectra of $0.0046MUBr₄ \cdot 6$ cl species in acetone. The number of each curve corresponds with the number of equivalents $Nbut_4Br$ added per mole $UBr_4 \cdot 6c$.

(Figure 2, curve d). Spectrophotometric titrations of (Net_4) , UBr₆ with each of dma, dmso, cl and tmpo formed the octahedral $(UBr_s L)^-$ species upon the addition of one mol equivalent. This corresponds with the slight reduction in ε_M value of the 1095 nm peak and its accompanying shift to about 1080 nm. The gradual increase of the eight coordinated component from as early as the second mol equivalent added, indicates how readily bromide is replaced by these neutral ligands (see the curves in Figure 5 for dmso).

Donor Strength Order of Ligands

The previous experiments suggest that the bromide ion is a stronger donor towards uranium(IV) than the weaker neutral donor ligands, e.g. acetone and methyl cyanide but weaker than the stronger ones, e.g. tmpo, dma, dmso, cl, *etc.* The chloride ion is stronger than all of these, but about equally as strong as the strongest phosphoryl ligands, e.g. hmpa and tmpo.

The experiments in Table I(b) were performed to extend this comparison to other anionic ligands. A considerable excess of iodide ions (5 equivalents) is necessary to react with $UCl₄$ in an acetone solution b^{obs} a mainly outside deal species is formed, $(UCL, I, constant)$ continuation operator is formed, C_{α} $(UCl_4 \cdot I \cdot acetone)^-$ and even the addition of twenty equivalents of iodide does not produce a completely octahedral species. Very similar results were obtained using UB_{T_4} instead of UCL. These data suggest that iodide is a weaker donor than even acetone.

The addition of one equivalent of thiocyanate to a UCI₄ solution in acetone produced a predominantly

 i _{ng} i cycle corresponds to $\frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to i corresp in methyl cyanide. The number of each curve corresponds with the number of equivalents of dmso added per equivalent of $(Net_4)_2UBr_6$.

octahedral species though with a bigger eight coordinated shoulder than that obtained in the corresponding titration of UBr, vs bromide. Since pure thiocyanato complexes of uranium(IV) are without exception eight coordinated,' the presence of a small amount of an eight coordinated species in this titration may possibly be due to the presence of a small amount of an eight coordinated uranium(IV) species containing U : NCS ratios of bigger than $1:1$ already at this stage. This method cannot, therefore, be used effectively as a measure of its donor strength. The octahedral species present could, however, contain a large amount of the $(UCl_4 \cdot CNS \cdot accone)^{-}$ species.

The following donor strength order is suggested by these data: chloride \geq hmpa, tmpo $>$ sulphoxides $(dmso)$, C=O amides (dma, cl, ddma, dmba) > bro $mide$ > acetone, acetonitrile > iodide.

This spectrophotometric method can only be used effectively for neutral donors of which their uranium (IV) complexes have eight coordinated (or higher than six coordinated) structures in solution and anions which are known to form octahedral uranium(IV) complexes (UX_6^2) in the solutions studied. Although it cannot, therefore, be used to determine the donor strength order of the nitrate ion or a donor strength order towards thorium ions, some information about these can be obtained from non-aqueous conductivity data. Conductometric titrations of dilute acetone solutions of $UX_4 \text{-} 2hmpa$ (X = Cl, Br and NO₃) with excess hmpa now indicated that the order of replacement of these ligands is $Br > NO_3^- > Cl^-$, nitrate being closer to bromide than chloride. Hardly any increase of conductivity was obtained in the case of the chloride, indicating that it is not replaced under these conditions.

When a dilute acetone solution of $ThCl₄ \cdot 4$ dma is titrated with tetrabutylammonium chloride weak endpoints are obtained at one and four equivalents of the chloride (see Figure 2, curve e). Tetrabutylammonium bromide gave similar end-points. The end-point at four equivalents of chloride suggests that the $ThCl_8^{4-}$ species forms under these conditions. Solid complexes of this type have been claimed in the earlier literature.⁸ It is also known that the anhydrous hexachlorothorate salts cannot be obtained pure from aqueous solutions salts cannot be obtained pure from aqueous solutions as is the case with uranium.⁹ Thorium tetrachloride adducts often have higher neutral donor to $ThCl₄$ ratios than those of UCI₄, e.g. ThCI₄ '4dma but UCI₄' 2.5dma.1° It is, therefore, likely that the weak endpoint at one equivalent of chloride corresponds with the formation of an eight coordinated pentachlorothorate complex, $viz.$ (ThCl_s $3dma$)⁻. The reactions in the above conductometric titration can then be represented by the equilibria in eq. 6:

$$
\text{ThCl}_4 \cdot 4 \, \text{dma} + x \, \text{Cl}^- \rightleftharpoons [\text{ThCl}_{(4+x)}(4-x) \, \text{dma}]^{x-}
$$
\n
$$
(4 \geq x \geq 0)
$$
\n
$$
(4 \geq x \geq 0)
$$

Applications of Donor Strength Order The equilibria set out in eq. 7

A
\n
$$
UX_6{}^{2-}
$$
 $\xrightarrow{L} X^- + (UX_5 \cdot L)^ \xrightarrow{(x-l)L} X^- + UX_4 \cdot xL \xrightarrow{yL} (UX_3(x+y)L^+ + X^- (7))$
\nC

can now be used to apply this order to the practical chemistry of uranium(IV). Solutions of M_2UCl_6 in strong donor solvents (dmso, dma), where the MCI species is also soluble in the solvent, give purely octahedral spectra and complexes of the type UCl_4 xL cannot be prepared from these. If. however, MCI is insoluble in the system. chloride is removed from the equilibrium (eq. 7) and such complexes can be isolated, e.g. UCl₄ \cdot 2.5dma from Cs₂UCl₆ in acetone.¹⁰ In spite of the fact that the $(UCl₅L)^{-}$ species is present in the equilibrium in acetone solutions of $(Net_4)_2$ UCI_6 in dma and dmso or even exists as the predominant species in an acetone solution containing $UCI₄$, Net₄Cl and dma or dmso in 1:1:1 ratios, solid complexes of the type $M[UC]_5 \cdot L$] could not be isolated (see Experimental). This must be ascribed to the low lattice energy of such species as compared to those of M_2UCl_6 and $UCl_4 \cdot xL$. The latter species (type C, eq. 7) are best prepared from $JCI₄$ itself and ionized species (type D) are seldom if ever obtained in nonaqueous media.

In the case of the weaker bromide the positions of all the equilibria arc shifted to the right hand side in eq. 7 for the same ligand concentration as compared to those of chloride. $(UBr₅·L)^{-}$ species can, however, still be obtained in high concentrations in acetone solution at UBr_4 : soluble bromide: ligand ratios of 1:1:1. Solid complexes could not be obtained from such solutions for the reasons mentioned for chloride. Addition of a small excess of neutral donor increases the concentration of species C (eq. 7) to such an extent that such species can crystallize under these conditions in spite of the presence of soluble bromide (see preparation of UBr_4 '6dmso under Experimental). In the case of iodide it is very difficult to isolate a $UI₆²⁻$ species from a non-aqueous solution¹¹ and species of the type D is generally formed, e.g. $UI_4 \tcdot 8cl$, $UI_4 \tcdot 8d$ mso.¹

Autocomplex formation (eq. 8) is favoured when the donor properties of an anion and competing neutral ligand are similar: 12

$$
2MX_4 \xrightarrow{\text{XL}} [MX_3(x-1)L]^+ + [UX_5 \cdot L]^-\tag{8}
$$

Strong evidence for autocomplex formation of $MX₄$ species ($M = U$ and Th; and $X = Cl$, Br and $NO₃$) was recently obtained from the way in which their conductivities vary with the amount of neutral ligand added in conductometric titrations with dmso. dma and tmpo.^{$1,13$} Although the anionic species in these solutions are of the $(UX₅·L)^{-}$ type and compounds like UCl₄ \cdot 3dmso, UCl₄ \cdot 2.5dma, U(NO₃)₄ \cdot 2.5dma and $\text{Th}(\text{NO}_3)_4 \cdot 2.5 \text{dm}$ are almost certainly also autoionized in the solid state, the nature of the anionic species in the solid state cannot merely be assumed to be the same and only full X-ray structure determinations will be conclusive.

Acknowledgement

We thank the South African Atomic Energy Board, the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research and the University of Port Elizabeth for financial assistance.

References

- 1 J.G.H. du Preez, M.L. Gibson and P.J. Steenkamp, to appear in *J. Inorg. & Nucl. Chem.*
- 2 J.G.H. du Preez. M.L. Gibson and C.P.J. van Vuuren, .I. S. African *Chem. Inst.,* 1971.24. 135.
- 3 K. W. Bagnall, D. Brown, F. Lux and G. Wirth, Zeit. für *Naturforschung. lY69,24, 2* l-1.
- 4 A.I. Vogel, "A Textbook of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis", Third Edition, Longmans, London. 1964, p. 442.
- 5 K. W. Bagnall, D. Brown, P.J. Jones and J.G.H. du Preez, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1966, 737.
- *6* K. W. Bagnall. J.G.H. du Preez, J. Bajorek, L. Bonner, H. Cooper and G. Segal, to be published.
- 7 K. W. Bagnall. Int. *Rev. Sri., Vol.* 7, p. 147, Butterworths. London, (1972).
- 8 E. Chauvenet, Compr. *Rend., 1909. I48,* 1519.
- 9 K.W. Bagnall, "The Actinide Elements", Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1972. p. 96.
- 10 K. W. Bagnall. A.M. Deane, T.L. Markin. P.S. Robinson and M.A.A. Stewart, *J. Chem. Sot.,* 1961, 1611.
- 11 K.W. Bagnall. D. Brown. P.J. Jones and J. G. H. du Preez, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 350.
- *12* V. Gutmann, *Record of Chemical Progres,* 1969, 30, 169.
- 13 J.G. H. du Preez, M.L. Gibson and C.P.J. van Vuuren, to be published.